

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,970	12/21/2005	Harald Albrecht	P27849	1728
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 01/23/2009 4 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAN	D CLARKE PLACE	•	HELM, CARALYNNE E	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,970	ALBRECHT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CARALYNNE HELM	1615		
	10/534,970 Examiner	10/534,970 ALBRECHT ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	CARALYNNE HELM	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pendos:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:		•					
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							

Application No.

Applicant argues that the examples in the primary reference (Malik et al.) teach compositions outside the breadth of those claimed. Applicant notes that the full document should be considered in applying it as prior art. Thus, as noted in the office action, beyond just the non-limiting exemplifications, Malik et al. also teaches proportions of surfactant in their composition that meet the claimed wt% requirement. The examples in a reference are not the only guidance it would provide to one of ordinary skill in the art, as applicant seems to imply. Therefore the teachings in the text of Malik et al., which include embodiments that have surfactant concentrations within the range claimed, also provide guidance as to the contemplated boundaries of the invention of Malik et al. Furthermore, even if Malik et al. contemplated limited modification of their invention, this does not preclude one of ordinary skill from making obvious modifications. Since Hagan et al. also teaches a composition suitable for skin cleansing it would have been reasonable to combine its teachings with those of Malik et al. and the result would have been a composition with the claimed level of surfactant. Finally, Furman et al. provides additional information regarding surfactants that were known at the time of the invention for use in topical cleansing preparations and would have been well within the technical grasp of one of ordinary skill in the art.

/Caralynne Helm/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615